

Characterization of products of projective spaces via the nef complexity

w/ J. Enwright, S. Filipazzi, Y. Gongyo

J. Moraga, R. Svaldi, C. Wang

University of French Polynesia

March 16, 2026

Kiwamu Watanabe (Chuo Univ.)

§ 1 Intro

1

Setting (Unless otherwise stated)

X : sm. Fano var. of dim n / \mathbb{C}

Def $\lambda_X := \max \{ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid \frac{1}{m}(-K_X) \in \text{Pic } X \}$

Fano index


Kobayashi-Ochiai Thm (i) $\lambda_X \leq n+1$

(ii) $\lambda_X = n+1 \iff X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$

$\lambda_X = n-1$ del Pezzo var.

(iii) $\lambda_X = n \iff X \simeq \mathbb{Q}^n$

$\lambda_X = n-2$ Mukai var.

Mukai Conj $\rho_X (i_X - 1) \leq n$ 

2

Moreover " = " $\Leftrightarrow X \simeq (\mathbb{P}^{i_X-1})^{\rho_X}$ $n + \rho_X - \underbrace{i_X \rho_X}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{total index } \tau_X}} \geq 0$

Replace this part by the "total index τ_X ".

Def For $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Q} ,

$$\tau_X(R) := \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mid -K_X \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k a_i L_i, a_i \in R > 0, L_i : \text{nef Cart} \right\}$$

$\tau_X := \tau_X(\mathbb{Q})$: the total index of X

$C_X := n + \rho_X - \tau_X$: the nef complexity of X

Mukai-type Conj. (Gongyo '23)

3

$$\cdot C_X \geq 0$$

$$\cdot " = " \iff X \simeq \Pi \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$$

Known Results (i) $\dim X = 2$ (Gongyo-Moraga '23)

(ii) The Ambro-Kawamata effective nonvanishing Conj.

\Rightarrow The Mukai-type Conj. (Gongyo '23)

(iii) X : spherical (Gagliardi-Hofscheier-Pearson '25)

Main Thm The Mukai-type Conj. holds.

4

Thm $(X, \Delta + \sum m_i M_i)$: generalized klt pair with

$$K_X + \Delta + \sum m_i M_i \equiv 0$$

where M_i is nef Cartier & $M_i \neq 0$ & $\Delta + \sum m_i M_i$: big

$$\Rightarrow \sum m_i \leq \dim X + \rho_X$$

$$\cdot \text{ " = " } \Leftrightarrow X \simeq \prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$$

Cor The Mukai-type Conj holds for klt Fano var.s.

Thm (a positive answer to a question by J. Starr) 5

X : sm. Fano var s.t. \forall elem. contr. is of fiber type $\text{---} (*)$

\Rightarrow $NE(X)$ & $Nef(X)$ are simplicial.

Thm The Mukai Conj holds for $(*)$.

Other results we have proved

◦ Computation of T_X for sm. del Pezzo surf.s.

◦ Classification of Fano 3-folds with $c_X = 1$.

◦ $\prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i} \dashrightarrow Y \underset{\sim \text{sm. proj. var.}}{\implies} Y \simeq \prod \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$

◦ Results on "polytopes"

§ 2 Examples

6

Setting X : sm. Fano var of dim n . / \mathbb{C}

e.g. $\rho_X = 1 \implies \tau_X = \lambda_X$

$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{('')} \text{ Pic } X = \mathbb{Z}[H] \text{ for some ample } H. \\ -K_X = \lambda_X H \implies \tau_X = \lambda_X. \end{array} \right]$

e.g. $X = X_1 \times X_2 \implies \tau_X = \tau_{X_1} + \tau_{X_2}$

e.g. Assume $\text{Nef}(X) \cap \text{Pic}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho_X} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[L_i]$.

If $-K_X = \sum a_i L_i$, then $\tau_X = \sum a_i$

e.g. For a linear subsp. $\mathbb{P}^k \subset \mathbb{P}^n$

7

$$X = \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(\mathbb{P}^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^n$$

$$H := \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$$

E : exc. div.

$$\begin{array}{c} \pi \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1} \end{array}$$

$$\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}}(1) = H - E$$

$$\text{Nef}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[H] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[H - E]$$

$$\text{Nef}(X) \cap \text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[H] + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[H - E]$$

$$-K_X = (n+1)H - (n-k-1)E = (k+2)H + (n-k-1)(H-E)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \tau_X = (k+2) + (n-k-1) = n+1$$

$$c_X = n + \rho_X - \tau_X = n + 2 - (n+1) = 1$$

sm. del Pezzo surf.

Notation $\pi : X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$: bl up of r points
 P_1, \dots, P_r in general position

$E_i := \pi^{-1}(P_i)$, $H := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$)

Thm For a sm. dP surf X ,

$\tau_X(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 3 & X = \mathbb{P}^2 \\ 4 & X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ 3 & X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2) \quad r=1,2,3 \\ \frac{9-r}{2} & X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2) \quad r=4,5,6,7 \\ 1 & X = \text{Bl}_8(\mathbb{P}^2) \end{cases}$

$\tau_X(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} 3 & X = \mathbb{P}^2 \\ 4 & X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ 3 & X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2) \quad r=1,2,3 \\ 2 & X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2) \quad r=4,5 \\ 1 & X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2) \quad r=6,7,8 \end{cases}$

Key Prop Set $m(X) := \min_{L \neq 0} \{-K_X \cdot L \mid L: \text{nef Cartier}\}$ 9

$$\Phi_{\text{base}}(L) := \frac{1}{m(X)} (-K_X \cdot L) \text{ base certificate}$$

Then for \forall nef Cartier $L \neq 0$, $\Phi_{\text{base}}(L) \geq 1$.

Consequently, $\tau_X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \frac{(-K_X)^2}{m(X)}$, $\tau_X(\mathbb{Z}) \leq \lfloor \frac{(-K_X)^2}{m(X)} \rfloor$

Pf By definition of $m(X)$, $(-K_X \cdot L) \geq m(X)$ for \forall nef Cartier $L \neq 0$

$$\implies \Phi_{\text{base}}(L) \geq 1 \quad \text{nef Cartier } \neq 0$$

If $-K_X = \sum a_i L_i$, then

$$\sum a_i \leq \sum a_i \Phi_{\text{base}}(L_i) = \Phi_{\text{base}}(\sum a_i L_i) = \Phi_{\text{base}}(-K_X) = \frac{(-K_X)^2}{m(X)}$$

How to compute T_X Assume $X = \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ ($r \geq 3$) 10

Compute $m(X) = \begin{cases} 2 & (3 \leq r \leq 7) \\ 1 & (r = 8) \end{cases}$

$$(-K_X)^2 = 9 - r$$

Key Prop \xrightarrow{m} $T_X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \frac{(-K_X)^2}{m(X)} = \begin{cases} \frac{9-r}{2} & (3 \leq r \leq 7) \\ 1 & (r = 8) \end{cases}$

For \forall 4-subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$,

$f_{[I]}$: the strict transf. of a conic through 4 pts $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$
 $= 2H - \sum_{i \in I} E_i \in \text{Pic}(X)$

r=3 $-K_X = (H - E_1) + (H - E_2) + (H - E_3)$ 3

r=4 $-K_X = \frac{1}{2} f_{[1234]} + \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{2} (H - E_i)$ $\leftarrow \mathbb{Q}$ -decomp.
 $\frac{1}{2} + 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2}$
 $= \int_{[1234]} \overset{H}{2H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4} + H$ $\leftarrow \mathbb{Z}$ -decomp. 2

r=5 $-K_X = f_{[1234]} + (H - E_5)$ 2

r=6 $-K_X = \frac{1}{2} f_{[1234]} + \frac{1}{2} f_{[3456]} + \frac{1}{2} f_{[1256]}$ $\leftarrow \mathbb{Q}$ -dec.
 $= -K_X$ $\leftarrow \mathbb{Z}$ -decomp. 1 $\frac{3}{2}$

r=7 $-K_X$: nef 1

§ 3 Idea of the proof

Numerical Fujita-type Thm X : sm. proj. var. of dim n .

L_1, \dots, L_k : nef big Cartier div. s on X , $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \geq n+1 \implies K_X + a_1 L_1 + \dots + a_k L_k$: pseudo-ef.

} using this

Kobayashi-Ochiai-type characterization

If X is a klt Fano & $-K_X = \sum a_i M_i$ with M_i : nef big

$\sum a_i \geq n+1$, then $\sum a_i = n+1$ & $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$.

Thm $(X, \Delta + \sum m_i M_i)$: generalized klt pair with

$$K_X + \Delta + \sum m_i M_i \equiv 0$$

where M_i is nef Cartier & $M_i \neq 0$ & $\Delta + \sum m_i M_i$: big

$$\Rightarrow \sum m_i \leq \dim X + \rho_X$$

$$\cdot \text{"="} \Leftrightarrow X \simeq \prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$$

The proof only applies to generalized klt Calabi-Yau pairs, but to explain the idea of the proof in a simple setting,

let X be a sm. Fano var. & $-K_X = \sum a_i L_i$ ($a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$)

L_i : nef Cartier on X s.t. $\tau_X = \sum a_i$

0

Goal If $c_X \leq 0$, $X \simeq \prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$

$$\hookrightarrow n + \rho_X \leq \tau_X = \sum a_i$$
$$\quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} \leq \\ n+1 \end{matrix}$$

If L_i is big for $\forall i$, K0-type characterization implies $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$

So assume $\exists i_0$ s.t. L_{i_0} is NOT big.
" 1 WLOG

X : Fano $\rightsquigarrow L_1$: semiample

$f := \text{cont}_{|mL_1|} : X \longrightarrow T$: contr. of fiber type.

By induction, we obtain inequalities / T & F : gen. fiber of f ,

Thank you
very much!!